

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	No. 08 CR 888
Government,)	
)	Chicago, Illinois
vs.)	
)	May 5, 2011
ROD BLAGOJEVICH,)	
)	9:53 o'clock a.m.
Defendant.)	

VOLUME 10
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES B. ZAGEL
AND A JURY

For the Government:

THE HONORABLE PATRICK J. FITZGERALD,
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
BY: Reid J. Schar
Carrie E. Hamilton
Christopher Niewoehner
Assistant United States Attorneys
219 South Dearborn Street;
Suite 500
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Court Reporter:

Blanca I. Lara, CSR, RPR
219 South Dearborn Street
Room 2504
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 435-5895

1 APPEARANCES (continued:)

2

3 For Defendant Rod Blagojevich:

4 KAPLAN & SOROSKY
5 BY: Sheldon M. Sorosky
6 158 West Erie
Chicago, Illinois 60610
(312) 640-1776

7

8 LAW OFFICE OF Elliott Riebman
BY: Elliott Riebman
9 158 East Erie
Chicago, Illinois 60610
(847) 814-2900

10

11

12 OFFICES OF AARON B. GOLDSTEIN
BY: Aaron Benjamin Goldstein
13 6133 South Ellis
Chicago, Illinois 60637
(773) 752-6950

14

15 OFFICES OF LAUREN FAUST KAESEBERG
BY: Lauren Faust Kaeseberg
16 2140 N. Lincoln Park West
Suite 307
17 Chicago, Illinois 60614
(773) 517-0622

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	JOHN HARRIS	
4	Direct Examination (Resumed) By Ms. Hamilton:.....	1534
5		
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8	Government's Exhibit Racetrack 5	1572
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 THE MARSHAL: All rise.

2 (The following proceedings were had in the
3 presence of the jury in open court:)

4 THE COURT: Please be seated.

5 You may resume.

6 MS. HAMILTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

7 JOHN HARRIS, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN
8 DIRECT EXAMINATION (resumed)

9 BY MS. HAMILTON:

10 q Mr. Harris, when we broke yesterday we were at
11 tab 30 in the binder. If you could go there,
12 please. And, specifically, we had left off
13 publishing this call at Page 12, line 26.

14 And just to remind the jury, this call took
15 place on the morning of 2008, is that correct?

16 A Yes.

17 q And where were you when this call took place?

18 A In my car on the way to Midway Airport.

19 q And where were you going that day?

20 A Flying to Springfield to participate in the fall
21 veto session of the General Assembly.

22 q What do you mean when you say the fall veto of
23 the General Assembly?

24 A As I explained yesterday, each year the General
25 Assembly concludes work sometime in early summer and

1 takes a long summer break and resumes work again in
2 the fall, typically in November, and convenes for
3 approximately two weeks to take up matters of
4 unfinished business, legislation that was vetoed by
5 the governor or mandatorily vetoed by the governor
6 and other issues that come up. It's a busy two-week
7 period of the General Assembly activity.

8 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, at this time I'd
9 ask permission to continue publishing call session
10 521 where we left off at Page 12, line 27.

11 THE COURT: Yeah, I've already looked at it,
12 go ahead.

13 MS. HAMILTON: Thank you.

14 (Tape played)

15 BY MS. HAMILTON:

16 Q All right. Mr. Harris, I want to direct your
17 attention to Page 12, please, of the transcript
18 behind tab 30.

19 And starting at line 27 you say:

20 "I mean, for example, you bring down, you bring
21 Valerie in, right? You talk to her, and you
22 say to her, okay, we've gotten, you know,
23 we've, all we've gotten from Obama is grateful
24 and appreciative, right."

25 And you go on:

1 "But you sit down and talk to Valerie and say
2 listen, you know, I need somebody that's gonna
3 accompany me on trade missions. I need
4 somebody that's gonna stand with me when we
5 push our agenda downstate"

6 and you go on from there. What is it that
7 occurred just prior to you starting to talk in this
8 particular session?

9 A The governor had asked whether or not he should
10 consider making Valerie Jarrett the senator without
11 any specific promise of reward or benefit from the
12 Obama administration. And I was, as some of his
13 other advisers had suggested he consider doing, and
14 I was also urging him and suggesting that there was
15 some value in appointing Valerie Jarrett to the
16 senate seat, that she could become a strong
17 influential ally of his. The good will that he
18 would engender from the White House having appointed
19 the president's best friend to be the senator from
20 Illinois could help him in his efforts to be a more
21 effective governor, increase his own political
22 standing, and help him deal with some of the
23 negatives that he was facing at that time.

24 Q And is it fair to say that's what you were
25 attempting to articulate here?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And on Page 13, at line 32, the defendant says:

3 "Right, I, I, I agree with everything you're
4 say, but what does that do for you?"

5 what did you understand the defendant to be
6 saying there?

7 A That while he agreed with the points I was
8 making, that's not what he wanted. He didn't want
9 to necessarily continue to serve as governor, he
10 didn't want something that didn't satisfy his
11 priorities, his first needs, which were more
12 financial at this time and just getting out of the
13 governorship.

14 Q On Page 14, starting at line 18, the defendant
15 says:

16 "We gotta, we really have to develop, and I
17 include you in this, but really develop a good
18 plan to develop relationships and all the rest
19 that we can take with us into the private
20 sector, and then figure, somehow figure out a
21 way where, ah, I can use that private sector,
22 you know, position, somehow get in the mix over
23 there. If ever. You know what I'm saying?

24 what did you understand the defendant to be
25 saying?

1 A I understood him to be returning the discussion
2 to where he wanted it to be, and that was on things
3 that he could get for himself in the private sector,
4 a job, a job preferably that would position him to
5 go to Washington or otherwise remain politically
6 viable.

7 Q And, again, be able to get this position how?

8 A As part of a deal for the senate seat
9 appointment.

10 Q I want to move forward to Page 17, starting at
11 bottom at line 30, the defendant says:

12 "Right. But you understand, it's very important
13 for me to make a lot of money. I need the
14 independence, I need the freedom. You know
15 among the things that we've dealt with that
16 I've learned, that I knew anyway, but I really
17 know and experienced the feel is, that the
18 vulnerability of my family is under because of
19 my public responsibilities that I made my
20 children and my wife vulnerable."

21 what did you understand the defendant to be
22 saying there?

23 A I understood the governor to be expressing to me
24 again his motivation for wanting -- to want to make
25 a lot of money to gain the freedom, the financial

1 freedom he desired. And that although I suggested
2 that he was a good candidate to serve in some sort
3 of advocacy group or foundation for the causes he
4 believed in and I thought that that is where he
5 might logically end up after he leaves the
6 governorship, he wanted to ensure that he was in a
7 position in those types of organizations or
8 somewhere in the private sector that would be
9 substantial enough and pay enough to satisfy his
10 needs.

11 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, at this time I'd
12 ask permission to publish the call behind tab 33,
13 which are sessions 533 and 535.

14 THE COURT: Yes.

15 SECOND MS. HAMILTON: Thank you.

16 BY MS. HAMILTON:

17 Q Mr. Harrison, if you look at the transcript
18 behind tab 33, this call takes place on November the
19 12th after the call you just had at 9:35 a.m., is
20 that right?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And you are not a participant on the call, is
23 that right?

24 A No, I am not.

25 (Tape played).

1 BY MS. HAMILTON:

2 Q All right. Mr. Harris, focusing you on November
3 the 12th while you were in Springfield, at some
4 point that morning did you receive a call from
5 someone with respect to the senate seat?

6 A Yes, I did.

7 Q Who called you?

8 A Rahm Emanuel.

9 Q And, generally, what did Mr. Emanuel convey to
10 you with respect to the senate seat?

11 A That Valerie Jarrett would be taking an
12 appointment to the White House, that the
13 president-elect has a list of recommended candidates
14 for the governor to consider for the vacant senate
15 seat.

16 Q Did you relay the substance of that call with
17 Mr. Emanuel to the defendant?

18 A Yes, I did.

19 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, at this time I'd
20 ask to publish call session 539 which is tab 34.

21 (Brief pause).

22 THE COURT: Yes.

23 (Tape played)

24 BY MS. HAMILTON:

25 Q Mr. Harris, focusing on Page 1 of the call behind

1 tab 34, what date and time did this call take place?

2 A 10:26 a.m. November 12th, 2008.

3 q Were you in Springfield at the time of this call?

4 A Yes, I had just arrived.

:20AM

5 q At line 3 on Page 1, the defendant says:

6 "Knapp tells me Valerie Jarrett's out."

7 what did you understand him to be saying
8 there?

:20AM

9 A That he had heard from his political consultant,
10 Bill Knapp, that Valerie Jarrett is out of the
11 running for Senate.

12 q At line 5 you say:

13 "Yeah, I just got a call from Rahm."

14 And then going to line 7 you say:

:20AM

15 "Three issues."

16 And do you then go on to describe to the
17 defendant the conversation that you had had with
18 Mr. Emanuel that morning?

19 A Yes.

:20AM

20 q And what did you relay to the defendant that
21 Mr. Emanuel had said to you?

:20AM

22 A That Ms. Jarrett was going to be appointed to a
23 position in the White House and that the president
24 wanted -- president-elect wanted to pass on four
25 names that he found acceptable for the governor's

1 consideration for the vacated senate seat.

2 q And at line 20 you say:

3 "Not in any rank order."

4 And then at line 22 you say:

5 "Jesse Jackson, Jr."

6 And then at page 2, line 2, you say:

7 "Jan Schakowsky."

8 And could you just remind the jurors, I
9 think you said yesterday who that is.

10 A Yes, it was Congressman Jesse Jackson, Jr.,
11 congresswoman Jan Schakowsky also from Illinois
12 representing a district from the lake front in
13 Chicago, Tammy Duckworth who was again our state's
14 director of Veterans Affairs, and Dan Hynes who at
15 the time was the Illinois State Comptroller.

16 q You then go on to say:

17 "He doesn't, doesn't want to say who he doesn't
18 like, but take it basically if they're not in
19 the list, he's not high on them."

20 And at line 13 you say:

21 "The unspoken there was Emil."

22 what were you saying there?

23 A That in the phone call with Rahm, Rahm suggested
24 that they would not -- president-elect would not
25 want to see Emil Jones be appointed to the senate

1 seat.

2 q At the bottom of Page 2, starting at line 25, you
3 say:

4 "If we got any questions to call him back and,
5 ah, Rahm's happy to talk to you."

6 Had, in fact, Mr. Emanuel told you that he
7 would be happy to talk to the defendant directly
8 about this?

9 A Yes, if the governor wanted to talk to him, he
10 would talk to him.

11 q And then continuing on at line 27 you say:

12 "And I said to him, so is there anyone else out
13 there authorized to be having discussions with
14 us on this issue."

15 Had you, in fact, asked Mr. Emanuel that?

16 A Yes.

17 q And why did you ask Mr. Emanuel that?

18 A Because at this time, if you will recall, we were
19 still waiting to hear back officially, if you will,
20 from Tom Balanoff as to what the response was. I
21 had a sense that we got the response when we got the
22 thankful and appreciative call, but we still had not
23 yet had a meeting with Mr. Balanoff.

24 q And you go at line to say:

25 "He said no, just him."

1 And was that, in fact, what Mr. Emanuel said
2 to you?

3 A Yes.

4 Q You go on to say:

5 "Contemporary to representations that may have
6 been made by others, he said yes."

7 And going on at the top of Page 3 you say.

8 "So you know what I was getting at with that."

9 And at line 3 the defendant says:

10 "Balanoff."

11 what did you understand the defendant to be
12 saying there?

13 A That he understood the conversation I had with
14 Mr. Emanuel.

15 Q At the bottom of page 3, at line 26, the
16 defendant says:

17 "Knapp tells me Rahm was pushing Valerie."

18 You say:

19 "Interesting."

20 And he goes on at line 28:

21 "Because he wants more control of the president,
22 doesn't want somebody close to her to him in
23 there."

24 what did you understand the defendant to be
25 saying to you there?

1 A I understood the governor to be telling me his
2 analysis or view of the events surrounding the
3 shaping of the white House team, and that Bill
4 Knapp, his advisor, consultant, had told him that
5 Rahm Emanuel was the one behind suggesting Valerie
6 Jarrett for the vacant senate seat.

7 Q And on Page 4, at line 8, the defendant then
8 says:

9 "who calls him and asks him, what about an issue
10 advocate PAC, issue advocate thing. Can we got
11 George Soros and these guys to put 10,
12 15 dollars in something? who makes that
13 request? To Rahm."

14 what did you understand the defendant to be
15 saying there?

16 A I understood him to again be resurrecting the
17 idea of asking the president-elect through Rahm
18 Emanuel to help establish a private 501(c)(4) issue
19 advocacy group or foundation, help fund it, and give
20 the governor control of it for his use at some time.

21 Q Based on the context of when this comes up in
22 this call, what was your understanding as to why the
23 defendant was considering having the request made to
24 Rahm Emanuel?

25 A Because Rahm Emanuel was the one that held

1 himself out as the only one authorized to be talking
2 about the senate seat, and that's who we received
3 the call from, and that Rahm Emanuel was then Chief
4 of Staff designate to president-elect Obama.

:25AM

5 Q Moving forward to Page 5, starting at line 22,
6 you say:

7 "What was interesting about the list."

8 and you then go on to describe the list, and at
9 line 28 the defendant says:

:26AM

10 "Right, it's a BS list."

11 what did you understand him to be saying?

12 A Just that he did not believe that president-elect
13 Obama was really endorsing any of the candidates,
14 but was really merely preparing a political list in
15 case it became apparent that the president-elect had
16 expressed a preference.

:26AM

17 Q And then at line 31 the defendant says:

18 "Right. Why don't you bounce that, why don't
19 you go back and talk to him about the
20 healthcare PAC, I mean the advocacy thing."

:26AM

21 what did you understand him to be saying there?

22 A He was directing me to call Rahm Emanuel and
23 raise this suggestion to have Rahm Emanuel help
24 create PAC, the foundation, the advocacy group along
25 the lines that the governor had outlined, thinking

:27AM

1 that Rahm Emanuel would be motivated to do so if, in
2 fact, Rahm Emanuel was the one that wanted Valerie
3 Jarrett to be the senator, that Rahm Emanuel may be
4 willing to do this.

:27AM

5 q And on Page 6, at line 4, the defendant says:

6 "what do you think."

7 And you say:

8 "I think we should strategize a little bit
9 before we do that."

:27AM

10 He says "okay" at line 7, and then you say:

11 "A little more."

12 At line 9 the defendant says:

13 "All right, let's think about it."

14 And at line 10 you say:

:27AM

15 "Okay."

16 "And who might be the best person to bring that
17 message forward."

18 what did you understand the defendant was
19 saying there?

:27AM

20 A I understood him to be accepting my suggestion
21 that we not go forward with this call to Rahm
22 Emanuel until we talk about it internally some more,
23 and I thought I had successfully accomplished that
24 delay.

:28AM

25 q At the bottom of Page 6, at line 24, the

1 defendant says:

2 "Emil still wants it, right?"

3 what did you understand him to be asking you
4 there?

:28AM

5 A whether I believed Emil Jones, Senator Emil
6 Jones, still wanted to be appointed to the senate
7 seat.

8 q And over on to Page 7, at line 1, the defendant
9 says:

:28AM

10 "When are you going to have that off-campus
11 conversation?"

12 what did you understand the defendant to be
13 saying there?

:28AM

14 A I understood him to be asking me when I was going
15 to have that discussion that the governor had
16 directed me to have with Emil Jones before, a
17 conversation he referred to always as the off-campus
18 conversation, about Emil Jones getting appointed to
19 the vacant senate seat and the governor expecting
20 Emil Jones to give him some or all of his campaign
21 war chest as part of that transaction.

:29AM

22 q At line 3 you say:

23 "I told him I wanted to see him after today's
24 business was done off site."

:29AM

25 And the defendant says:

1 "Yeah."

2 And then you say:

3 "He said okay."

4 And at line 9 the defendant says:

:29AM

5 "All right, good. All right, let's keep, give
6 it some more thought, talk to Emil about that
7 and let me take this call."

8 what did you understand the defendant to be
9 saying to you?

:29AM

10 A He was telling me that we would be talking again
11 later in the day for me to continue to think about
12 the idea he had just suggested and the direction he
13 had given me, but also directing me to go ahead and
14 have that conversation with Emil Jones.

:29AM

15 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, at this time I'd
16 ask permission to publish call session 540 which is
17 behind tab 35.

18 THE COURT: Yes.

19 MS. HAMILTON:

:30AM

20 q And, Mr. Harris, if you look at the transcript
21 behind tab 35, the date and time of this call is on
22 November 12th at 10:32 a.m., is that right?

23 A Correct.

:30AM

24 q And is this the session immediately following the
25 one that we just listened to between you and the

1 defendant?

2 A Right after we hung up, yes.

3 q And you were not a participant on this call,
4 right?

5 A No, I am not.

6 (Tape played)

7 MS. HAMILTON:

8 q Mr. Harris, just to be clear, the session we
9 listened before behind tab 34, at any point in that
10 call with the defendant did he indicate to you he
11 was considering calling Tom Balanoff with respect to
12 his request for a 501(c)(4) in exchange for making
13 Valerie Jarrett the senator?

14 A No.

15 q In fact, what was your understanding as to where
16 things stood with respect to the defendant asking
17 for money into a 501(c)(4) in exchange for Valerie
18 Jarrett?

19 A That we were going to have several more
20 conversations on that topic, internally.

21 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I'd now ask
22 permission to publish call session 558 which is at
23 tab 40.

24 THE COURT: Yes.

25 (Tape played).

1 BY MS. HAMILTON:

2 q All right. Mr. Harris, before I ask you
3 questions about that call, I just want to clarify
4 something about my last question with respect to Tom
5 Balanoff.

6 So it's your understanding that Mr. Balanoff
7 was part of the internal group having discussions
8 about the senate seat or someone outside the
9 internal group?

10 A Someone outside the internal group.

11 q Now focusing on the call behind tab 40, what's
12 the date and time of this call?

13 A 12:36 p.m. on November 12th, 2008.

14 q At line 2 the defendant says:

15 "Here's my criterion, you want to hear it now?
16 I told Patti."

17 It goes on at line 5:

18 "These three criterion in this order, our legal
19 situation, our personal situation, my political
20 situation. This decision like every other one
21 needs to be based on, on that, legal, personal,
22 political, what do you think?

23 What did you understand the defendant to
24 be saying there?

25 A I understood him to be articulating for me the

1 criterion he would be following in making his Senate
2 selection decision.

3 q And what was that criterion?

4 A Legal, personal, and political.

:36AM

5 q And as he goes on to describe it, what was your
6 understanding of what he meant by "legal"?

7 A Someone that could help him deflect or otherwise
8 reduce the problems he was facing with the federal
9 investigation into his campaign and administration.

:37AM

10 q All right. So at line 14 when you say:

11 "Legal is the hardest to, ah, satisfy. You
12 know, that would argue most for somebody who's
13 real close to you or somebody who owes it all
14 to you."

:37AM

15 And at line 19 the defendant says:

16 "Including myself."

17 And you say:

18 "including yourself."

19 And he goes on to say:

:37AM

20 "I mean, think about that. I mean, they want
21 me here in Illinois, that's far away Illinois
22 problem from my own life."

23 He goes on to say:

:37AM

24 "The governor's got that problem with Rezko,
25 boom, but if I'm in the Senate ..."

1 and over Page 2:

2 "... it's not just mine anymore, it's his, too,
3 isn't it, if the Rezko thing got worse?"

4 what did you understand the defendant to be
5 saying there?

6 A He was talking about the investigation into the
7 activities of his former campaign fundraiser, Tony
8 Rezko, a local businessman who was a chief
9 fundraiser for the governor and also had done some
10 fundraising and also had some involvement at
11 President Barack Obama earlier political career, and
12 the governor was expressing his opinion that if he
13 were a senator himself, if the governor appointed
14 himself to the senate seat, then it would be more
15 likely that the Justice Department would not be as
16 aggressive or follow through on the investigation if
17 he were the senator, somebody that was more closely
18 aligned to Washington than out in Illinois.

19 Q So on Page 2, at line 6, he continues:

20 "And, and from a legal standpoint on the
21 substance of, you know, did you do something
22 wrong or didn't you do something wrong, it
23 doesn't change that, but in terms of the, the
24 people who are trying to chase all that down
25 and does it shape any dynamics if you're there

1 versus being back here."

2 You say:

3 "Right."

4 He says:

:39AM

5 "If you're closer to the king or out in the
6 provinces far away."

7 What did you understand him to be saying there?

8 A Again, I understood him to be expressing to me
9 his belief and opinion that there was a different
10 dynamic and it may affect the behavior of the
11 Justice Department if he appointed himself to the
12 senate seat.

:39AM

13 Q So is it fair to say that, based on what the
14 defendant said in this call, that was your
15 understanding of what he was talking about in terms
16 of when he said "our legal situation"?

:39AM

17 A Yes.

18 Q And in terms of "our personal situation," when he
19 says the three criterion are our legal situation,
20 our personal situation, my political situation,
21 based on this call and other conversations you had
22 with the defendant, what was understanding of what
23 he meant when he said "our personal situation"?

:39AM

24 A His family's personal financial situation.

:40AM

25 Q And the third one on the list, "my political

1 situation," what was your understanding of what he
2 meant by that?

3 A A position or career path that kept him
4 politically viable.

:40AM

5 q Mr. Harris, at some point on November the 12th,
6 did you have an in-person meeting with Emil Jones?

7 A Yes.

:40AM

8 q And did you have this meeting based on the
9 directive that you received from the defendant that
10 you've already testified about?

11 A Among other reasons.

12 q Where did the meeting take place?

13 A Mr. Jones' office in the state capitol.

14 q Who was there?

:40AM

15 A Myself and Senator Jones.

16 q Generally, what did the two of you talk about?

:41AM

17 A Matters that were pending during the veto
18 legislative session, boards and commission
19 questions, and just generally the future career
20 plans of Senator Jones.

21 q Did you also discuss with him the senate seat?

22 A Yes.

23 q And what did you discuss in that respect?

:41AM

24 A That the governor was considering appointing
25 himself, but next on his list was the senator,

1 Senator Jones.

2 q Did you follow the defendant's directive in terms
3 of letting Mr. Jones know that if he wanted the
4 senate seat, the defendant expected some or all of
5 Mr. Jones' campaign war chest?

6 A No.

7 q Later that day, did you have a telephone
8 conversation with the defendant about the meeting
9 you had with Mr. Jones?

10 A Yes.

11 q Were you truthful to the defendant about your
12 meeting with Mr. Jones?

13 A Not entirely.

14 q How were you not entirely truthful?

15 A I let him to believe that I had talked to Senator
16 Jones about the issues that the governor wanted me
17 to talk to him about when I had not.

18 q Why did you lie to him about that?

19 A Because I knew he would be angry, upset, and
20 either direct me to go back and have that
21 conversation or that he would undertake that
22 conversation himself.

23 q And have you heard a recording of the telephone
24 conversation that you had with the defendant with
25 respect to your meeting with Mr. Jones?

1 A Yes.

2 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I'd ask to publish
3 call session 589 which is behind tab 42.

4 (Brief pause).

5 THE COURT: You may.

6 (Tape played)

7 MS. HAMILTON:

8 Q Mr. Harris, focusing on Page 1 of the call behind
9 tab 42, what is the date and time of this call?

10 A 5:30 p.m. November 12th, 2008.

11 Q Still in Springfield at this time?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Starting at line 12 you say:

14 "Well, I talked to him about life after the
15 Senate and how, you know, everyone's going to
16 go off to Washington and live happily ever
17 after, and Rod's, you know, stuck here with
18 this unable to get anything done. Regardless
19 of who the Senate President is and, you know,
20 how, how might Emil, Emil, Emil is helpful.

21 And he says, I says, "you know, because next to
22 him, you're his favorite candidate." I mean
23 next to yourself."

24 What were you talking about there?

25 A Generally the conversation I had with Emil Jones.

1 q On to Page 2, starting at line 2, you say:
2 "Right, "but, you know, I still think we gotta,
3 we gotta demonstrate to him how, you know,
4 you're, you're, you know, he's not forgotten
5 back here. You know, so if he picks anyone
6 else, you know, they're not gonna help him." I
7 says, "they're not even gonna return his call."
8 Right. I kinda put it to him like that. I
9 said "you, on the other hand, you know, you'd
10 lobby for him, you'd stand up with him, you'd
11 travel with him, you'd be for him. You know,
12 and you got all of your friends and allies, and
13 as a senator you'd have new friends and allies
14 and you'd help someone like Rod." "Oh, yeah,
15 absolutely." I says, "you know, well, what are
16 you gonna do with this big, bucket of money?"
17 And at line 21 the defendant says:
18 "what did he say?"
19 And at line 22 you go on:
20 "I said "and what about this big bucket of
21 money?" You know, I said "you're going to have
22 like minded candidates, right?" And he said,
23 "yeah, I'm gonna stay politically active."
24 Anyway, I'll tell you more in person on that."
25 what are you saying there?

:53AM

:53AM

1 A I was describing to the governor the nature of
2 the conversation I had with Senate President Jones
3 but not in its entirety and not as accurately as it
4 actually occurred.

5 Q What do you mean by that?

6 A Well, Senator Jones and I did have discussion
7 about the governor's relationship with Senator
8 Jones, the fact that they had been allies in the
9 past and that if Senator Jones was appointed to the
10 senate seat we would hope and expect to continue
11 that strong alliance in the future going forward.

12 When it came to his campaign chest, we didn't
13 talk about it in the context of the senate seat at,
14 but rather president Jones' announced retirement.
15 There was an article in the paper we were talking
16 about that announced President Jones' retirement
17 from the Senate.

18 And President Jones was unique among Illinois
19 legislators and state employees in that if you had
20 money in your campaign war chest before a certain
21 date--a grandfathering date, if you will, I believe
22 it was 1998, I can't be certain--that those monies
23 could be converted to personal use after paying
24 taxes on it.

25 And we talked about President Jones' future,

1 what he planned to do, and he said he wanted to go
2 out and make some money. I said, well, you have
3 this money that you could convert to personal use.
4 And he said he intended to perhaps convert some of
5 that for personal use but he would use the remainder
6 of it to remain politically active.

7 That was a more accurate description of what
8 the conversation was, not the translation that I
9 gave the governor on the phone.

10 q How was the discussion that you had with
11 Mr. Jones different from the directive you had had
12 received from the defendant on that topic?

13 A I did not tell Senator Jones that the governor
14 expected and was asking for the senator to be
15 prepared to give the governor some or all of his
16 campaign chest in exchange for appointing him to the
17 senate seat; rather, I focused more on the fact that
18 they could be strong allies going forward.

19 q Moving forward to page 3, at line 35, the
20 defendant says:

21 "Right, one thing we should say is, look, what
22 if I want to run for the senate, we need him as
23 a stalking horse in there to keep junior and
24 these, you know, keep it from getting ..." and
25 it goes on at line 41:

1 "... two white guys and a black guy, right?
2 what did you understand him to be saying
3 there?

4 A The governor was responding to my portion of the
5 call that informed him that Senator Jones told me
6 that if he were appointed, he would only serve for
7 the remainder of the term in office, the unexpired
8 term of then president-elect Barack Obama, which was
9 2 years, and that when the seat was up for
10 reelection again, Senator Jones had expressed to me
11 that he was not interested and would not run again
12 for re-election, or in this case first election.

13 I explained to Senator Jones that was
14 problematic, that would make it more difficult for
15 the governor to consider him a favorite to be
16 appointed.

17 The governor was expressing to me there may
18 be some value in that scenario, the value being
19 Senator Jones would occupy the senate seat, give the
20 impression he was going to run for re-election and
21 thus keep other possible contenders away for as long
22 as possible and then the governor himself may
23 announce his intention to run in the last minute for
24 the next term of office of the U.S. senate seat.

25 Q Moving on on Page 4, at line 4 you say:

1 "So it's good, you know, and then he, you know,
2 about Obama. I said to him, you know, how, how
3 confident are you that he wants you? So he
4 kinda looked at me, you know, and I kinda
5 looked at him, so he knew. And I says, well,
6 and he said, well, I don't think he'll go out
7 of his way to stop it."

8 At line 12 the defendant said:

9 "He did say that, I don't think he'll go out of
10 his way to it stop?"

11 And you said "right" and he says "wow."

12 what did you understand the defendant to be
13 saying there?

14 A He found that portion of the conversation which I
15 relayed, which was a fairly accurate representation
16 of the discussion I had with Senator Jones, to be
17 quite revealing in that Senate President Jones was
18 somewhat of a political godfather to Senator Barack
19 Obama. As a young elected official in the Illinois
20 Senate, Senate President Emil Jones helped shape,
21 promote, and nurture the political career of Senator
22 Barack Obama, and publicly Barack Obama and Senator
23 Jones were very close, Senate President Jones held
24 himself out as Barack Obama's political godfather,
25 but he acknowledged to me that he recognized that

1 Barack Obama would not necessarily view President
2 Emil Jones as his first choice or go out of his way
3 to support Senator Jones' appointment to the senate
4 seat, that was just quite revealing.

5 Q Going on the Page 5, at line 13, the defendant
6 says:

7 "So what's your sense of this?"

8 You say:

9 "I think he really wants it."

10 And at line 16 you go:

11 "And, you know, I think he'd be helpful in a lot
12 of ways. Obviously, you know, not as helpful
13 as you would be to yourself, but, you know, in
14 terms of any other elected official? You know,
15 he'd be as helpful as them."

16 And at line 24 the defendant says:

17 "As helpful or more helpful?"

18 what did you understand the defendant to be
19 saying there?

20 A We were just, I believe, comparing the merits of
21 Senator Jones' appointment as compared to other
22 politicians that may be considered for the senate
23 seat.

24 I just simply expressed my opinion that
25 Senator Jones was a much more effective and seasoned

1 political veteran that would be a strong ally to the
2 governor if he were appointed to the senate seat.

3 Q And moving on to Page 6 at the bottom, at line 21
4 the defendant says:

5 "So he's not going to do anything to take away
6 that power from me, is he?"

7 And you say:

8 "No, no."

9 And at line 24 the defendant says:

10 "And I, you know what I'm saying?"

11 You say:

12 "No, no, not at all."

13 what did you understand the defendant to be
14 saying?

15 A As I mentioned yesterday, the governor had
16 inquired and we advised him that the state
17 legislature, the General Assembly, could take away
18 the power the governor possessed to appoint someone?
19 To fill the vacancy in the senate seat and the
20 governor simply asking me whether I got the sense
21 that President Jones was entertaining an idea to
22 take away that power, and I told him I did not
23 believe that was the case and that's why I said "no,
24 no."

25 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, would this be a

1 good time to take our morning break?

2 THE COURT: Probably.

3 THE MARSHAL: All rise.

4 (The following proceedings were had out of the
5 presence of the jury in open court:)

6 THE COURT: About 15 minutes.

7 We are in recess.

8 (Recess.)

9 THE MARSHAL: All rise.

10 (The following proceedings were had in the
11 presence of the jury in open court:)

12 THE COURT: Please be seated.

13 You may resume.

14 MS. HAMILTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 BY MS. HAMILTON:

16 Q Mr. Harris, before the break you had testified
17 about a meeting that you had with Mr. Emil Jones
18 which is referred to in various calls as the
19 off-campus discussion, is that right?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Prior to November the 12th, had the defendant
22 directed you, in fact, numerous times to have this
23 meeting?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Why is it that you actually had the meeting on

1 November the 12th as opposed to an earlier date?

2 A Because I was with Emil Jones down in Springfield
3 and it was harder to avoid the meeting or at least I
4 had told the governor in the past that I was
5 attempting to meet with Emil Jones off-cite. When
6 we were both in Chicago, it was less likely that I
7 would encounter Emil Jones, but we were somewhat of
8 a captive audience in Springfield during a
9 legislative session.

10 q Focusing your attention on November 12th, what
11 was your understanding at that time as to, based on
12 what the defendant said to you, his first choice
13 remained with respect to the senate seat?

14 A He still believed that there was a chance that he
15 could make a deal to appoint Valerie Jarrett.

16 q In exchange for something for himself?

17 A Yes.

18 q And what was your understanding as to why you had
19 been directed to have this meeting with Emil Jones
20 with respect to the possibility of him getting the
21 senate seat in exchange for some or all of his
22 campaign war chest?

23 A Well, because we've been informed that Valerie
24 Jarrett would be going to the white House, there was
25 the very real possibility that that would not or

1 could not happen even though he believed there was
2 still a slight chance it could. Emil Jones'
3 appointment would have been a backup or a fall-back
4 plan.

:31AM

5 Q Mr. Harris, I want to change topics, and I want
6 to direct your attention to legislation involving
7 gaming and the horse track industry, and I want to
8 specifically focus on what, if any, legislation was
9 pending in November of 2008 involving gaming and the
10 horse track industry.

:31AM

11 Are you familiar with what I'm asking you?

12 A Yes, I am.

13 Q What, exactly, was pending or, generally, what
14 was your understanding of what was pending in
15 November of 2008?

:32AM

16 A A piece of legislation that had passed both
17 Houses, the Illinois House and the Illinois Senate,
18 earlier in the summer, was pending the governor's
19 final action on the bill, which would be the
20 governor's approval of the bill or veto of the bill.

:32AM

21 Q What was your general understanding of what the
22 bill involved?

23 A The bill we referred to commonly as the racetrack
24 bill or the horse track bill was a piece of
25 legislation that would direct a subsidy, in terms of

:32AM

1 money, from casino owners and operators to Illinois
2 horse racing tracks.

3 The history of that bill goes back for years.
4 There was a subsidy paid by casino owners to race
5 track owners at a time when Illinois first got
6 casinos, in an effort to keep the horse track
7 industry viable, sustainable, and to continue to
8 provide economic benefit to the regions that had
9 horse tracks, as well as the industries that
10 supported horse racing in Illinois.

11 q When you say the industries that supported the
12 horse racing industry in Illinois, what are you
13 referring to?

14 A Primarily the agricultural industries, the
15 breeding of horses, the care of horses, the feeding
16 of horses. So people that provided the oats and the
17 grains and other products needed to support racing.
18 So it's primarily the agricultural industry, as well
19 as the people that worked at the tracks, the
20 Jockeys, the announcers, people that maintained the
21 facilities and such.

22 q In 2008 what was your involvement in the bill
23 that was pending at that time?

24 A Well, the subsidy -- the legislation that granted
25 the subsidy in the past had expired, and there was

1 new legislation introduced that would continue the
2 subsidy for another period of years, I don't recall
3 if it was two or three years, and that piece of
4 legislation was one that our administration
5 supported, it was a bill that passed without much
6 controversy or resistance, both Houses of the
7 Illinois General Assembly, and was pending the
8 governor's final action to make it law.

9 Q At some point after the bill passed the House and
10 Senate and was awaiting the governor's signature,
11 did you begin to receive calls with respect to when
12 that bill was going to be signed?

13 A Yes, there was sense of urgency on the part of
14 some people that the bill be signed sooner rather
15 than later.

16 Q Who did you receive calls from?

17 A I received a call from Lon Monk, the governor's
18 former Chief of Staff; I received a call from Chris
19 Kelly, the governor's campaign finance chairman and
20 friend; and a call from Jay Hoffman, a State
21 Representative. There may have been others but
22 those are the three that I recall.

23 Q Let's focus first on the call that you received
24 from Mr. Lon Monk.

25 What, if anything, did Mr. Monk say to you

1 with respect to the racing bill in that call?

2 A He asked me whether or not the bill was ready for
3 signature and if I could help expedite the
4 governor's action on the bill because it was costing
5 the racetrack tens of thousands of dollars a day in
6 loss subsidy each day the governor did not sign it.

7 Q Did you have an understanding as to why Mr. Monk
8 was calling you with respect to the racing bill?

9 A Yes, I understood he was a lobbyist representing
10 the interest of one of the horse track owners, or
11 maybe more but I knew at least one.

12 Q And who was that horse track owner?

13 A I believe it was John Johnston or Johnson.

14 Q With respect to the call that you received from
15 Mr. Hoffman, generally what was your understanding
16 as to why Mr. Hoffman was calling you on the bill?

17 A Because he was getting calls. Jay Hoffman was a
18 representative from downstate Illinois in the
19 heartland of the agribusiness sector that was
20 survived because of the horse racing industry, he
21 also was the governor's legislative floor leader, if
22 you will, somebody that worked closely with the
23 governor on legislation in the House and Senate,
24 somebody who was generally known as having access to
25 the governor and would not be uncommon for him to be

1 calling myself, other members of the governor's
2 staff or the governor himself on legislative
3 questions, and he was eager for action on the bill,
4 as well.

:37AM

5 q With respect to Mr. Chris Kelly, what was your
6 understanding as to why Mr. Kelly was calling you
7 with respect to when the defendant was going to sign
8 the racing bill?

:37AM

9 A Just that he had friends that were interested in
10 the bill. I know he was friends with Johnson
11 Johntson, there may have been others, but I know he
12 also had similar interest in seeing fast action on
13 the bill.

:38AM

14 q What, if anything, did you do after you received
15 the calls from Mr. Monk and Mr. Kelly in relation to
16 the racing bill?

17 A I made inquiries of the staff about whether the
18 bill was ready to be signed and spoke to the
19 governor after that.

:38AM

20 q Mr. Harris, I'm going to show you what has been
21 marked Government Exhibit racetrack 5.

22 Do you recognize that document?

23 A Yes.

24 q What is this document?

:39AM

25 A An e-mail chain.

1 q Is this e-mail chain with respect to the racing
2 bill that you began?

3 A Yes.

4 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, we'd move for the
5 admission of Government Exhibit Racetrack 5.

6 THE COURT: Admitted.

7 (Government's Exhibit Racetrack 5 was received
8 in evidence.)

9 MS. HAMILTON: And ask permission to publish?

10 THE COURT: You may.

11 (Exhibit published to the jury.)

12 BY MS. HAMILTON:

13 q Mr. Harris, we'll start with the very bottom
14 entry, the first e-mail that you sent that starts
15 this e-mail chain.

16 A Yes.

17 q And when did you send this e-mail?

18 A 9:01 a.m. on November 26th, 2008.

19 q Who did you send this e-mail to?

20 A Mathew Summy, Bob Greenlee, and William Quinlan.

21 q Who was Matthew Summy at this time?

22 A He was on the governor's staff, he was a
23 legislative affairs policy director. He reviewed
24 all the bills that were ready for the governor's
25 action and would prepare them for action by the

1 governor.

2 q The next individual you sent this to is Bob
3 Greenlee, is that right?

4 A Yes.

:40AM

5 q Now, you already testified that he was the deputy
6 governor at this time. why did you include him on
7 this e-mail?

:40AM

8 A Bob Greenlee supervised Matt Summy in the
9 legislative affairs section of the governor's
10 office. Bob Greenlee was a deputy governor, he also
11 supervised the communications and press operation.
12 So he would have also been somebody that would've
13 needed to review, and he was the one that usually
14 brief the governor on action pending the
15 governor's -- or legislation pending the governor's
16 action.

:41AM

17 q You also sent this e-mail to william Quinlan, is
18 that right?

19 A Yes.

:41AM

20 q why did you include Mr. Quinlan on this e-mail?

:41AM

21 A william Quinlan was the general counsel in the
22 Governor's Office. He oversaw the governor's legal
23 operation. Legal division would also be involved in
24 review of legislation for its opinion before the
25 governor would act on legislation.

1 q And could you read for the jurors what is it that
2 you wrote to Mr. Summy, Mr. Greenlee, and
3 Mr. Quinlan with respect to the racing bill?

4 A (Reading:)

5 "Horse racing recapture, any reason we can't
6 sign today?"

7 q And why is it that you were asking that?

8 A Because these are the individuals that would know
9 whether the legislation was ready for action,
10 whether there was any issues that would cause us not
11 to act on the bill.

12 q Let's move up to the first response back, and who
13 was that from?

14 A Bob Greenlee.

15 q When did you receive a response from Mr. Greenlee
16 to your e-mail?

17 A A few minutes after my inquiry.

18 q And just so it's clear to the jury, where on the
19 e-mail does it show the date and time?

20 A On the third line under each section where it
21 says "sent."

22 q So Wednesday, November 26, and that's the time,
23 9:03:23?

24 A Yes.

25 q What did Mr. Greenlee write back?

1 A (Reading:)

2 "Not from my end, assume RRB okay."

3 q what did you understand Mr. Greenlee to be
4 communicating to you there?

: 43AM

5 A No problems from legislative affairs or
6 communications or policy action, the bill is ready
7 for action, assume the governor is okay.

8 q when you say "the governor," how did you know he
9 was referring to the governor there?

: 43AM

10 A "RRB," those are his initials.

11 q Thank you.

12 when he said "assume "RRB okay," what did you
13 understand him to be communicating?

: 43AM

14 A Assuming nothing has changed, everything was
15 ready for final action because we were supportive of
16 this legislation from its start.

17 q All right. The next response you received was
18 from whom?

19 A William Quinlan.

: 43AM

20 q And when did you receive that response?

21 A A few minutes after that.

22 q At 9:10 a.m.?

23 A Yes.

24 q And what did Mr. Quinlan write back to you?

: 44AM

25 A (Reading:)

1 "Let me check on rules language contained in the
2 bill before we go ahead."

3 q What did you understand Mr. Quinlan to be
4 communicating to you?

5 A That he wanted to check to make sure that the
6 language in the legislation did not contain what we
7 had seen in some other legislation that we
8 considered a poison pill.

9 The Speaker of the House had around this time
10 undertaken a practice of including language in
11 several pieces of legislation unrelated to the
12 legislation but that was targeted to limit the
13 governor's administrative rule-making powers and his
14 agencies. That was an assault on the executive
15 branch that we resisted and would veto bills that
16 had such offensive language in them, and Bill was
17 just double-checking to make sure the offensive
18 language was not contained in this bill.

19 q All right. The next entry was a response from
20 you, is that right?

21 A Yes.

22 q And it looks as though you wrote back almost
23 immediately?

24 A Yes.

25 q And what did you write back?

1 A Well, actually I write back over an hour later.

2 Q Well, look at the date and the time on the "sent"
3 line. It's Wednesday, November 26th, 9:10.

4 A Oh, I'm sorry. I read it as "10:39." I'm sorry,
5 9:10, yes.

6 "Need answer quickly."

7 Q And why is it that you wrote that?

8 A Because I wanted Bill to look at it himself and
9 not just put it in the in-box for staff review,
10 because I wanted to get an answer quickly because,
11 again, this was costing the industry tens of
12 thousands of dollars a day.

13 Q All right. And then the final e-mail exchange is
14 at the top, right, is that right?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And this is an e-mail from Mr. Quinlan to you at
17 9:43 a.m.?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And what did Mr. Quinlan write back?

20 A "Okay to sign."

21 Q And are those his initials below that?

22 A Yes.

23 Q What did you understand Mr. Quinlan to be
24 communicating to you in this e-mail?

25 A That he was satisfied, from a legal standpoint,

1 that this bill had no objectionable language.

2 q Now, after you -- I guess for you, this e-mail
3 chain, what did it all signify to you in terms of
4 the internal review of the racing bill?

5 A All lights are green, ready to go.

6 q And so what did you do next?

7 A On a call with the governor, I raised the
8 subject.

9 q And have you heard a recording of that phone
10 call?

11 A Yes.

12 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I'd ask permission
13 to publish session 1068 which is tab 54.

14 THE COURT: Yes.

15 (Tape played)

16 BY MS. HAMILTON:

17 q Mr. Harris, focusing on Page 1 of the transcript
18 behind 54, what is the date and time of this call?

19 A 12:53 p.m. on November 26th, 2008.

20 q All right. So does this call take place a few
21 hours after the e-mail string we just looked at?

22 A Yes.

23 q And I want to focus on line 17, the defendant
24 says"

25 "So Lon called and you obviously talked to him.

1 You say:

2 "Yes. Yes."

3 what did you understand the defendant to be
4 referring to there?

: 4 9AM

5 A That he had recently just spoken to Lon Monk and
6 that Lon had mentioned that he had spoken to me.

7 q And at line 21 you say:

8 "So what about Lon."

9 And over on Page 2 the defendant says:

: 4 9AM

10 "I told him I'm not doing anything till, you
11 know, for a while."

12 You say:

13 "okay."

14 And then at line 4 he says:

: 4 9AM

15 "But I think he's got nothing to fear, okay."

16 what did you understand the defendant to be
17 saying there?

18 A That he told Lon that he is not prepared to sign
19 the bill but that he assured Lon that he would be
20 signing it eventually.

: 5 0AM

21 q When you say "the bill" are you referring to the
22 racing bill?

23 A Yes.

24 q At line 7 the defendant says:

: 5 0AM

25 "He's worried about the other, and I said no, I

1 said I'm not going to do anything, want to sit
2 on it till I sort things through on all kinds
3 of bills, you know, and see how it all fits
4 in."

5 what did you understand the defendant to be
6 saying?

7 A Explaining to me how he put Lon off, told Lon
8 that he wanted to not sign the bill until he
9 reviewed other things. I wasn't quite sure what he
10 was referring to.

11 Q When you say you weren't quite sure what he was
12 referring to, what do you mean?

13 A How the bill fits in to other things, I'm not
14 sure what he was referring to on that.

15 Q Based on what you knew at that time about other
16 bills that were pending, did you understand that
17 this bill fit in in any way with the other bills?

18 A No, not based on what I knew. There was no
19 reason not to act on this bill.

20 Q At some point after the phone call with the
21 defendant, did you talk with someone else within the
22 office of the Governor about this issue?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Who?

25 A Mr. Quinlan.

1 q And when did you talk with Mr. Quinlan about the
2 defendant not signing the racing bill?

3 A It was later that week before Mr. Quinlan was
4 scheduled to accompany the governor on an
5 out-of-town trip the beginning of the following
6 week.

7 q And what is it that you said to Mr. Quinlan with
8 respect to the defendant not signing the racing
9 bill?

10 A I talked to him about several matters that he
11 should be discussing with the governor on their trip
12 together. I was staying in Illinois, they were
13 leaving town.

14 I told him that I had concerns about the
15 racetrack bill, that the governor may be holding it
16 up for an opportunity to leverage more campaign
17 contributions out of racetrack owners and operators.
18 I asked Mr. Quinlan to try to get the governor to
19 sign off on the bill while they were together on the
20 trip.

21 q At some point while Mr. Quinlan was on this trip
22 with the defendant, did you have a conversation with
23 Mr. Quinlan about this particular topic?

24 A Yes.

25 q Have you heard a recording of that call?

1 A Yes.

2 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, at this time I'd
3 ask permission to publish the call behind tab 56
4 designated as session 139.

5 And, Judge, for the record, I'm offering this
6 call, the statements therein, not for their truth
7 but for the effect that they had on Mr. Harris and
8 the decisions that he makes as a result of this
9 conversation.

10 THE COURT: For that purpose, it's admitted.
11 (Tape played)

12 BY MS. HAMILTON:

13 Q Mr. Harris, focusing on Page 1 of the transcript
14 behind tab 56, what's the date and time of this
15 phone call?

16 A 7:41 a.m. on December 2nd, 2008.

17 Q And starting at line 2, Mr. Quinlan says:

18 "Yeah, ah, and then, you know, I also with our
19 mutual boss pushed that other thing we were
20 talking about generally."

21 And then he goes on line 6:

22 "And it, I didn't get very far, but I had a lot
23 of people interrupting me."

24 what did you understand Mr. Quinlan to be
25 saying there?

1 A That he had made an attempt to talk to the
2 governor about signing the racetrack bill.

3 q At line 8 you say:

4 "I mean, did he express a reason why the delay?"

5 And at line 10 Mr. Quinlan says:

6 "Ah, let's just say, it is what you think."

7 what did you understand Mr. Quinlan to be
8 saying there?

9 A He was telling me that the reason for the delay
10 was the concern that I had expressed to him earlier.

11 q which was what?

12 A That he was holding the bill because he wanted to
13 talk to Lon about getting campaign contributions
14 from the racetrack owners before he signed the bill.

15 q At line 12, you say:

16 we're talking about the thing that, ah --"

17 And at line 13 Mr. Quinlan says:

18 "Yeah, yeah, we're talking about the same
19 thing, you and I we're talking about."

20 And you say:

21 A lot of our, a lot of our friends are
22 interested in?"

23 And he says:

24 "Yeah, yeah."

25 what did you understand Mr. Quinlan was

:55AM

:55AM

:55AM

:56AM

:56AM

1 saying to you there?

2 A That we were talking about the racetrack bill.

3 Q And he goes on to say:

4 "I will work on that today. I didn't have a
5 chance to be, like are you f'ing kidding me,
6 you know what I mean. It was like a two second
7 thing, and I had other two right there, and I,
8 it wasn't a discussion I wanted to have in
9 front of people."

10 what did you understand Mr. Quinlan to be
11 saying?

12 A That he didn't have a chance to talk much more
13 about it with the governor.

14 Q And over on Page 2, at line 1 you say:

15 "No, no, and it's what, it's what I feared."

16 And at line 3 Mr. Quinlan says:

17 "Yeah."

18 And at line 4 you say:

19 Ah, f'."

20 what were you saying there?

21 A My fear was confirmed and I was discouraged.

22 Q After this phone call with Mr. Quinlan --

23 THE COURT: Stop. Stop.

24 A couple of times I said that I would admit
25 something just to show someone's state of mind, and

1 maybe I'll give you an illustration of what that
2 means.

3 If somebody walked in the back of this room
4 right now, maybe wearing a firearm's helmet, and
5 said "there's a fire in the building," and we all
6 left, went down the stairs, followed the protocol
7 that we do here. If somebody wanted to introduce
8 evidence about somebody walking in the door and
9 saying there's a fire in the building, they're not
10 allowed to offer that evidence for the proposition
11 that there actually was a fire in the building. We
12 don't know. It might've been somebody impersonating
13 a firefighter, there's no proof apparent to any of
14 us, there was no smoke, there was no fire. But it's
15 admitted because it might be important to know why
16 we all got up out of the room and left the building
17 in the middle of a trial.

18 So the evidence is offered to explain
19 somebody's state of mind or why they did the next
20 thing that they did, that's when I say to you it's
21 offered only for the purpose to explain somebody's
22 state of mind or someone's actions.

23 With that, you can proceed.

24 MS. HAMILTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

25 BY MS. HAMILTON:

1 q Mr. Harris, based on this phone call that you had
2 with Mr. Quinlan, what decision, if any, did you
3 make with respect to what actions you should take
4 with respect to trying to get the defendant to sign
5 the racing bill?

:58AM

6 A That I would take no further action because I
7 viewed this as a matter between the governor and his
8 best friend of 25 years, Lon Monk, and they would
9 work it out.

:59AM

10 q Mr. Harris, I want to change topics again and ask
11 you, at this period of time in the late fall
12 of 2008, did the defendant raise with you his
13 interest in getting millions of dollars in state
14 money to the Chicago Cubs?

:59AM

15 A Yes.

16 q Based on what he said to you, what was your
17 understanding of what he wanted you to do?

18 A To find a way to give a grant to the Chicago Cubs
19 organization.

:59AM

20 q For how much money?

21 A Well, the initial discussions were in the range
22 of a million or a million and a half and then they
23 went up as high as 15 million.

:59AM

24 q Based on what the defendant said to you, what was
25 your understanding as to why he wanted to give the

1 Chicago Cubs millions of dollars in state money?

2 A Other than to ingratiate himself with them and
3 get their goodwill, I didn't know exactly what the
4 reason was, what the motive was, other than he
5 wanted to be friendly to the Cubs organization.

6 Q And have you heard recordings of some of the
7 conversations that you had with the defendant on
8 this topic?

9 A Yes.

10 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I'd ask permission
11 to publish tab 57 which is call session 1255.

12 THE COURT: Yes.

13 (Tape played).

14 BY MS. HAMILTON:

15 Q Now, Mr. Harris, focusing on Page 1 of that
16 transcript, what's the date and time of this call?

17 A 9:26 a.m. on December 3rd, 2008.

18 Q At line 3 the defendant says:

19 "Okay, I talked to Ganis, I got some ideas on,
20 you know, the Cub stuff."

21 what did you understand the defendant to be
22 saying there.

23 A That he had just gotten off the phone or recently
24 spoken to Marc Ganis and he had some ideas on a
25 grant or more ideas on the grants he intended to

1 give to the Cubs. Marc Ganis was a sports
2 facilities consultant that worked closely with the
3 Cubs organization.

4 Q Prior to this call, had you had discussions with
5 the defendant about this particular idea he had?

6 A Yes.

7 Q At line 7 he goes on:

8 "So like 15 million dollars that the Sox got,
9 did they get 15 million from the sports
10 authority?"

11 what did you understand the defendant to be
12 saying there?

13 A He was specifying the amount that he was
14 interested in giving the Cubs and that he told me
15 that he believed the white Sox got \$15 million from
16 the Illinois Sports Authority.

17 Q At line 10 you say:

18 "Ah, not to my knowledge, I don't --"

19 And he said:

20 "Yeah, apparently they did. Can you look into
21 that."

22 At line 14:

23 "See what that was."

24 what did you understand the defendant to be
25 saying to you there?

1 A wanted me to verify whether the Sox organization
2 received that money and report back to him.

3 Q It goes on at line 14:

4 "But his thinking was, you know, like you were
5 saying, some sort of green initiative and you
6 can kinda promote it."

7 what did you understand the defendant to be
8 saying there?

9 A When we talked about it before, he asked me
10 whether or not he could give a grant to the Cubs
11 organization.

12 I initially discouraged him. He asked me
13 whether he could and I said there were some monies
14 available in environmental initiative funds and
15 technology grant funds for certain
16 demonstration-type projects or research and
17 development type projects that could create a
18 greater benefit for the Illinois economy or produce
19 jobs or start new industries in the state.

20 I told him that perhaps we can come up with
21 half a million or a million dollars if we found the
22 right type of project to help sponsor along with a
23 private entity like the Cubs organization.

24 He had come back and told me that he wanted
25 the amount to be somewhere closer to 10 or

1 15 million dollars, a number that he had come to a
2 conclusion on after speaking to Marc Ganis who
3 apparently told him that the Sox got that.

4 But the Sox are different because the stadium
5 that the Sox play in is owned by the state and the
6 investment went into state property.

7 q And the idea of the green initiative, was that
8 something that you had come up with in response to
9 the defendant's interest in trying to get the Cubs
10 organization money?

11 A Yes, I told him that we --

12 q Pardon?

13 A I told him that we had some money and potentially
14 could give a grant out of our green initiative fund
15 or technology fund.

16 q Over on Page 2, at line 1, the defendant says:
17 "who do we talk to about developing a concept or
18 idea in our operation?"

19 what did you understand him to be asking you
20 there?

21 A That he not only wanted to come up with the
22 money, but he wanted to come up with the idea that
23 the money would fund.

24 q You say:

25 "I talked to, if we, if we, I mean, if we're

1 limited to green, I mean not limited, but I'm
2 saying if we're trying to further go down the
3 green initiative, I'd talk to Frankel."

4 At line 8 the defendant says:

5 "Science and technology, you know, green,
6 electricity, utilities, reducing utility cost
7 at the ballpark, that kinda thing, right?"

8 what did you understand the defendant to be
9 saying?

10 A He was repeating back to me some of the things
11 that I had mentioned to him as possible scope of
12 projects in our earlier conversation.

13 Q And when you say possible scope of projects,
14 again was this a creative way to try to get the Cubs
15 organization state money?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And, again, in response to the defendant's
18 directive that he wanted them to get millions of
19 dollars?

20 A For me to find a way to do it, yes.

21 MS. HAMILTON: And I ask permission to
22 publish the call behind tab 58, designated as
23 session 111.

24 THE COURT: Yeah.

25 (Tape played)

1 BY MS. HAMILTON:

2 q Mr. Harris, focusing on Page 1 of the transcript
3 behind tab 58, what is the date and time of this
4 call?

5 A 9:57 a.m., December 3rd, 2008.

6 q At line 5 the defendant says:

7 "I talked to both Ganis and Crane Kenny."

8 You already said who Ganis was, who is Crane
9 Kenny?

10 A He was president of the Cubs organization and
11 general counsel for the Tribune Company.

12 q And in response at line 6 you say "okay, so," and
13 you laughed, what did you understand the defendant
14 to be saying to you there?

15 A That he went out and promised this level of
16 funding and grant to them.

17 q Prior to this call, had you indicated that you
18 wanted to talk with anyone internally before the
19 defendant had such a conversation?

20 A Yes, we had not concluded the legal research on
21 the initiative giving them a grant, and we couldn't
22 conclude that until we finished scoping the project
23 and coming up with more definition before I can give
24 him a final view on whether we can do this.

25 q Had you actually told the defendant that?

1 A Yes, I said we still need to have legal review it
2 and come up with a project.

3 Q So when he said that he talked to both Ganis and
4 Crane Kenny, what was your understanding that meant
5 with respect to what you had told the defendant you
6 needed to do?

7 A The commitment had been made.

8 Q And at line 7 he goes on to say:

9 "You know, 10 to 15 I said, but I need, I need a
10 nexus to science and technology, you know, a
11 green thing, you know."

12 And he goes on:

13 "So he, Crane Kenny, like it. They've got some
14 whole bunch of ideas on what they wanna do with
15 an old ballpark like that, like some of the
16 wireless, you know, wired up to modern age,
17 some green, green stuff. He thinks it's a
18 great idea."

19 what did you understand him to be saying to
20 you?

21 A That he had, in his discussions with Mr. Kenny
22 and Mr. Ganis, told them that it would need to be
23 limited to technology, and they liked the idea, and
24 they were going to come back with some ideas on what
25 projects they needed funded.

1 q And he goes on at line 20 to say:

2 "They're gonna work some stuff up."

3 And at line 21 you say:

4 "Can I ask why, why you're fixated on that,
5 that level of money?"

6 And at line 23 he says:

7 "'cause that's what the white Sox got."

8 what did you understand him to be saying?

9 A He was again telling me that he wanted to give a
10 state grant in amounts equal to what the white Sox
11 -- what he had reportedly heard the white Sox
12 organization recently received from the state.

13 q And on Page 2, at line 1 he says:

14 "You know what I'm saying?"

15 You say:

16 "Uh-huh."

17 He says:

18 "10 to 15."

19 You say:

20 "Uh-huh."

21 He says:

22 "Ganis says 1.5 million is nothing."

23 what did you understand him to be saying to
24 you?

25 A That he apparently had a discussion with Marc

1 Ganis about the initial numbers we were talking
2 about and Mr. Ganis thought that wasn't sufficient,
3 and the governor agreed.

4 q At line 8 he says:

5 "what do you think?"

6 At line 9 you say:

7 "well, my opinion is, you give them nothing. I
8 mean, they're for profit entity, they don't
9 need the subsidy."

10 At line 12 he says:

11 "Yeah, I know. All right."

12 what did you understand the defendant to be
13 saying there?

14 A That he knew my opinion, understood my opinion,
15 but didn't agree with it.

16 q And you go on you say:

17 "But that's, I was just wondering why or how you
18 arrived at that number."

19 And he says:

20 "The number is because the white Sox got that."

21 And you say:

22 "Right. Different animal, though."

23 And at line 19 he says:

24 "well, I understand."

25 what did you understand him to be saying?

1 A He understood that the white Sox organization
2 operated in a state sports facility Sox Park.

3 Q Why is that a different animal?

4 A Because the investment of public funds in that
5 park are going into a public building, a public
6 structure, and we lease it to the -- or the state
7 leases it to the Sox organization.

8 Q And how is that different from the Cubs?

9 A This would be an out and out gift to a private
10 entity for reasons that we're backing into, we're
11 trying to figure out the justification after the
12 promise of the gift was made.

13 Q And based on what the defendant said to you, was
14 it your belief that he understood that they were
15 different animals?

16 A Yes.

17 Q He goes on at line 19 to say:

18 "Well, we gotta justified it. So in a way,
19 Mr. Harris, that, you know, is a plus, you know
20 what I'm saying?"

21 what was he saying?

22 A He wanted me to come up with a publicly
23 justifiable reason for giving the grant.

24 Q And you say:

25 "Uh-huh."

1 And at line 24 he says:

2 "I think I gotta do like a public private
3 thing."

4 what did you understand him to be saying?

:14PM

5 A Searching for a way to make it more publicly
6 justifiable or acceptable.

7 q And you say:

8 "Yeah, I think, I think it helps that if they
9 match it, and two, it's cutting edge, so least
10 we're trying to set a trend here."

:14PM

11 At line 30 he says:

12 "well, that's it, that's what they gotta do and
13 they gotta match it, don't they?"

14 what did you understand him to be saying?

:14PM

15 A I understood him to be beginning to develop with
16 me a public justification or other explanation and
17 to justify the use of the public funds, that it
18 would be better if the Cubs organization contributed
19 some of their own money, as well, and that the
20 project be of a demonstration level project.

:14PM

21 q Over on Page 3, at line 18, the defendant says:

22 "Okay, good, and you working on Jim Hendry,
23 right?"

24 what did you understand the defendant to be
25 asking you?

:15PM

1 A He was following up on an earlier directive that
2 he had given me to make up street signs and dedicate
3 a section of a state roadway as an honorary Jim
4 Hendry stretch of road.

:15PM

5 q Who is Jim Hendry?

6 A I believe he's the Cubs manager.

7 q All right. Mr. Harris, I want to focus back on
8 the senate seat.

9 A Okay.

:15PM

10 q At some point in mid November, did it become
11 clear that Valerie Jarrett was not, in fact,
12 interested in the senate seat?

13 A Yes.

:16PM

14 q And after that point, generally, how did -- how,
15 if at all, did this affect the discussions that you
16 were having with the defendant about his process on
17 filling the senate seat?

:16PM

18 A It -- it changed dramatically into a search for a
19 number of candidates to be found, discussed, and
20 debated with some specific direction from time to
21 time for the type of persons he was looking for.
22 Different names were being floated around, coming in
23 from various sources.

:17PM

24 q And although different names were coming in, who
25 maintained the control and focus of that senate seat

1 process?

2 A He did; very closely.

3 Q At some point did the defendant tell you that he
4 was considering appointing Congressman Jesse
5 Jackson, Jr., to the senate seat?

6 A There was times we talked about Jesse Jackson,
7 Jr. I had advanced Jesse Jackson, Jr. as a
8 candidate for consideration and made strong, what I
9 believe to be a strong argument on his behalf, the
10 governor had rejected that possibility, but later
11 suggested to me he was seriously considering Jesse
12 Jackson, Jr.

13 MS. HAMILTON: Your Honor, at this time I'd
14 ask permission to publish call sessions 1334 and
15 1335 which are at tab 66.

16 THE COURT: What's the running time?

17 MS. HAMILTON: Seven minutes.

18 THE COURT: Okay. And then I think we'll
19 adjourn after you run it.

20 MS. HAMILTON: After?

21 THE COURT: You run it.

22 MS. HAMILTON: But you don't want me to ask
23 questions about it?

24 THE COURT: Right.

25 (Tape played).

1 THE COURT: We're going to adjourn now.
2 Probably around 1:45 today. I may have to have a
3 short hearing, so it might be 2:00.

4 THE MARSHAL: All rise. This court is
5 suspended until 1:45 p.m.

6 (The following proceedings were had out of the
7 presence of the jury in open court:)

8 THE COURT: We're adjourned.

9

10

11 (Luncheon recess taken from 12:26 o'clock p.m.
12 to 2:00 o'clock p.m.)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

:26PM

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

* * * * *

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT
FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED
MATTER

/s/Blanca I. Lara

date

Blanca I. Lara

Date